

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/126,884	BERTRAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian D. Nguyen	2661	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian D. Nguyen.

(2) Mike Bentley.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Eamon J. Wall.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 12, 27

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant arguments/remarks and the art rejections were discussed. The applicant agreed to include the limitations of claims 21 and 22 to claim 1 to clearly distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. Other independent claims 7, 12, and 27 are also amended as set forth in the examiner's amendment.